From:
 Moody, Dustin (Fed)

 To:
 Chen, Lily (Fed)

 Subject:
 Re: Chad"s report

Date: Thursday, March 3, 2022 12:25:07 PM

Lily,

Chad has shared it with me as well, and we've done some editing back and forth. I think your comment is a good one to ask. Maybe we could re-state to still give an idea why we chose sphincs+, or it's fine with me to drop it. (Of course, we have the 4^{th} round KEMs that will be a backup to the lattice KEMs.)

Dustin

From: Chen, Lily (Fed) < lily.chen@nist.gov> Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 12:21 PM

To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>

Subject: Chad's report

Hi, Dustin,

Chad asked us to read the draft report. I have one comment I like to check with you first. Please see the attached.

Thanks, Lily